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ABSTRACT
Software documentation is a critical attribute of both software projects and software engineering in general. Documentation is considered as a media of communication among the parties involved during software development as well as the one who will be using the software. It consists of written particulars concerning software specifications as well as what it does, in which manner it accomplishes the specified details and even how to exercise it. In this paper, we tried to focus on the role of documentation in software projects.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Software documentation is an essential feature of both software projects and software engineering in common. In piece of evidence, documentation engineering has become an accepted sub-domain in the software engineering society. The task of documentation in a software engineering milieu is to commune information to its spectators and instils knowledge of the system it describes [2][19]. Documentation is requisite in software development. Even though every software development project is exclusive and produces diverse categories of documents, different amount of documentation, and may employ different documentation methods and notations, we need to be able to control the documentation produced in software development projects in a uniform manner [3][30]. Documentation is the process of collecting, organizing, storing and maintaining historical record of programs and other documents used or prepared during the different phases of the life cycle of the software [13][14].Software development is partly a learning and communication process. Software developers need to communicate with each other and also with various interest groups of the system to be developed, such as customers, marketing people, end users, service personnel, and authorities. Documentation is the basis for communication in software development organizations as well as between development organizations and the interest groups of the system to be developed [28][41].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ambler et al [1] describes the issues concerning the changing needs of documentation. In particular, Ambler says that “during development you’re exploring both the problem and solution spaces, trying to understand what you need to build and how things work together. Post development you want to understand what was built, why it was built that way, and how to operate it”. Cockburn [18], as well as Ambler et al [1] presents an alternate view concerning the role of documentation. They argue that the purpose of documentation is to convey knowledge – something that can be different from merely providing information. Cockburn argues that source code presents the facts of a system and the supporting documents facilitate higher-level interpretation of those facts. A document that instils knowledge in its audience can then be deemed effective, somewhat regardless of its age and the extent to which it is up-to-date [18].

Laitinen [28] puts forward that software development is supposed to be documentation-oriented, which means that documents are considered to be the most essential and valuable products of the development process. Documentation-orientedness involves considering such computer-process able products as source program modules and batch-files as documents. On the other hand, a product such as executable machine code is regarded as
a by-product in the development process, because in a development environment we can always derive correct executable machine code when we have the correct documents. The executable machine code is essential to the user of a computer system, but it is considered less important to the software developers.

Software development is partly a learning and communication process. Software developers need to communicate with each other and also with various interest groups of the system to be developed, such as customers, marketing people, end users, service personnel, and authorities. Documentation is the basis for communication in software development organizations as well as between development organizations and the interest groups of the system to be developed. To ensure efficient communication, all communicating parties need to be able to identify various software documents, and to ensure that the right information is found, all communicating parties should be able to anticipate what information is in each document [26][27].

Hager & Kellner et al [25][29] states that documentation is probably most crucial to the maintenance phase, which accounts for 60%-75% of the total cost of the software. Osborne [37] reports that documentation accounts for more than 60% of maintenance costs, and is involved in about one-third of the maintenance tasks. A quick understanding of the existing software is a key activity of the maintenance process. Chapin [11] asserts that maintenance people spend 40% of their time dealing with documentation. Fjel et al [23] showed that when making a program modification 47% of a maintenance programmer’s time is spent studying the program source code and associated documentation. They also found that when correcting errors, the time increases to 62%. Documentation has appropriately been called the castor oil of software process-it is good for you but tastes awful. Far too often documentation may not exist, or if it does exist, it may be incomplete, inaccurate, or out of date. Basili et al [4] studied an industrial maintenance environment and found that 20% of the maintenance problems are due to bad documentation, with the most frequent problems being documentation faults and documentation clarifications. They claim that better documentation can solve a big percentage of maintenance problems. According to Chapin [10], maintenance programmers report that for most maintenance tasks the source code is the only available documentation. Buckley [8] claims that in most cases maintainers discover that the available documentation is not current; Poston [38] asserts that flawed or outdated documentation is more costly than no documentation.

Poor quality documentation is a major problem. In a survey of 487 data processing organizations, Lien et al [33] found documentation quality ranked 3rd in the list of 26 maintenance problem items. They identify documentation quality and adequacy of design specs as accounting for 70% of product quality. Guimaraes et al [24] claims that the documentation rating has an inverse relationship with the average yearly maintenance expenditures and that maintenance programmers felt that the most important document was an “English narrative describing what the programs and modules are supposed to do”. Documentation impacts the analysis and development phases as well. Boehm [7] estimated that documentation costs run about 10% of total Software Development costs. Scheff et al [40] found that 85% of all software development errors are introduced during requirements, analysis and design. Basili et al [5] conducted a study to analyze the factors that cause errors and found that misunderstanding of a module’s specifications or requirements constituted the majority of detected errors. Card et al [9] studied a production environment to evaluate the effectiveness of different technologies and their impact on productivity and reliability. They found that high-use of documentation improves productivity by 11% and reliability by 27% compared to low-use of it. To improve quality, they suggest effective documentation of each phase of development. Fagan [22] claims that documentation quality inspections are as important as program inspections when the goal is to increase productivity and final software quality.

The effectiveness of documentation within a development process is determined by the way in which the intentions of the authors correspond to the expectations of the potential readers. In a typical software development process, many different kinds of documents are produced and consumed at various points in time. The contents of those documents necessarily exhibit a certain amount of overlap. People may lose track of the meaning of individual documents; which information it contains and what its role is in the development process. When the expectations of the consumers of the documentation drift too far from the intentions of its producers, the ultimate consequence might be a need to rediscover already documented knowledge. In such a situation, customers for example may need to explain their situation and requirements over and over again to different parties in the development process [2][15][16].

Laitinen [28] identified the following task when document is applied in practice:

1) Certain quality control policies (e.g. walkthroughs, reviews, and inspections) must be assigned to certain types of documents. For example, some Development Plans need to be reviewed with customers, and Utilization Documents usually need a different kind of quality control than Software Descriptions.

2) For every document or document-type that is considered necessary in software development documentation guidelines, document templates, and checklists for validating a document should be created.
3) When a specific development method is used the correspondence between the documents and/or models produced by a method and the documents needs to be specified.
4) An appropriate version control policy must be established for every document class. A general rule is that all document classes excluding Quality Control and Administrative Documents need version control.
5) Various roles are needed in software development [14]. It may be beneficial to decide that certain documents or document types are created, maintained, stored and/or collected by a certain role according to the software quality system.

Bill et al [22] believe that documentation should focus on how requirements and design decisions were made, represented, communicated and changed over the lifespan of a software system. As well, documentation should describe the impact of the current system on future development processes. Their study involved interviewing personnel from seventeen large software projects. Their analysis focused on the problems of designing large software systems; but many results report directly about the use (and misuse) of documentation in a software project. Thomas [42] raises several fundamental questions in their discussion about software documentation.

1) What types of documentation does a software engineer (or support staff member) need?
2) Who should produce, maintain and verify documentation to assure an appropriate level of quality?
3) Why should documentation be produced at all?

Forward et al [3] specify procedures for managing user documentation throughout the software life cycle. It applies to people or organizations producing suites of documentation, to those undertaking a single documentation project, and to documentation produced internally, as well as to documentation contracted to outside service organizations. It provides an overview of the software documentation and information management processes, and also presents aspects of portfolio planning and content management that user documentation managers apply. It covers management activities in starting a project, including setting up procedures and specifications, establishing infrastructure, and building a team. It includes examples of roles needed on a user documentation team. It addresses measurements and estimates needed for management control, and the use of supporting processes such as change management, schedule and cost control, resource management, and quality management and process improvement. It includes requirements for key documents produced for user documentation management, including documentation plans and documentation management plans. ISO/IEC/IEEE 26511:2012 is independent of the software tools that may be used to produce or manage documentation, and applies to both printed documentation and on-screen documentation. Much of its guidance is applicable to user documentation for systems including hardware as well as software. While there is no universally recognized standard for software documentation, there is a standard for documenting engineering and scientific software. Developed by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and the American Nuclear Society (ANS) in 1995, it is called the ANSI/ANS 10.3-1995 Standard for Documentation of Computer Software. The standard provides a flexible, robust framework for documentation needs. One of its goals is to encourage better communication between developer and user and to facilitate effective selection, usage, transfer, conversion and modification of computer software. The standard is not a rigid set of specifications but a guide that can apply to most software projects intended for internal or external use. While the standard cannot cover all documentation problems, it is a good starting point, even for the most complex software. Similarly, while the standard provides recommendations for documenting scientific and engineering software, it doesn't offer guidance for online monitoring, control or safety systems, and doesn't specifically address the unique requirements of consumer-oriented software. As a general guideline for clear, well-organized documentation, however, the ANSI/ANS 10.3-1995 standard can serve as a place for developers to begin a documentation methodology. The standard is fairly comprehensive, and it allows for individual developer differences and unique software documentation problems [39].

Quian [32] states that, the software documentation is unpopular among many developers at present while the documents are important for the staffs who work for secondary development and software maintenance. For this phenomenon, Quian proposed a teaching method of writing software documentation, in which the software maintenance is the driving force to make students fully understand and grasp the method of software documentation writing through an upgrade and maintenance software project. And students learn to write effective software documentation to establish the level and structure of the document.

Lethbridge [17] put forward that, software engineering is a human task, and as such we must study what software engineers do and think. Understanding the normative practice of software engineering is the first step toward developing realistic solutions to better facilitate the engineering process. We conducted three studies using several data-gathering approaches to elucidate the patterns by which software engineers (SEs) use and update documentation. Their objective is to more accurately comprehend and model documentation use, usefulness, and maintenance, thus enabling better decision making and tool design by developers and project managers. Our results confirm the widely held belief that SEs typically does not update documentation as timely or completely
as software process personnel and managers advocate. However, the results also reveal that out-of-date software documentation remains useful in many circumstances. Choudhary [17] disclose the challenges faced by the documentation team in a globally distributed setting have not received much attention. In their work they highlighted on the challenges faced by the software documentation team in a globally distributed product development team. Further the paper elaborates on the solutions implemented, successes and failures of the team. This also includes the learning of the team as it aligned with the Lean model of Software Development.

Magyar [34] state the work carried out at Spatial

Chomal and Saini [12][13] in their work considered documentation of software projects prepared by students as a source for data collection. Specifically, documentations of large software projects of only final year students of Masters level course have been considered for the research purpose. The duration of these software projects is six months. The said documentations of software projects were procured from college libraries. These documentations include complete project profile along with the following elements:

1) Requirement analysis
2) Technology used
3) Database design
4) Structural and Object Oriented Modelling Techniques
5) Screen layouts
6) Testing techniques along with test case and data

They analyzed and reviewed 505 large software project documentations developed during a period of academic years from 2001-2002 to 2011-2012. During our exploration we considered all of the above described elements. For simplicity and better exhaustive analysis of the documentations, the phased process was followed. As

Forward [3] discusses how certain attributes contribute to a document’s effectiveness. They conducted a survey and asked the participants how important particular document attributes contribute to its overall effectiveness. Participants gave rating between 1 (least important) and 5 (most important). Dragicevic et al [21] in their work considered problems of elicitation, documentation and validation of user requirements, and implicates the need for method that enables the stakeholders to resolve problems of incomplete, incorrect and contradictory requirements in the earliest possible phase of project. In their review of research literature they showed that the existing methods are primarily intended for requirement engineering and software engineering professionals and that there is a lack of method that will ensure the active role of business users. They define new method of elicitation, documentation and validation of users requirements based on complementary application of Event Process Chain method and UML language. Its experimental part verifies and evaluates the suggested method on specific project of customized software development. This method is suitable for each project is uniquely different definition from other projects, it is noteworthy here that this was repeated for each of the 505 project reports under study. These phases are presented below:

1) Exploration of Project Profile
2) Exploration of Existing System and Proposed System
3) Exploration of Requirement Gathering Techniques
4) Exploration of Requirement Analysis done by Students
5) Exploration of Technology on which Software Project carried out
6) Exploration of Process Model adapted for Software Project Development
7) Exploration of Data Dictionary (including Database Design)
8) Exploration of various Structural and Object Oriented Modelling Techniques
9) Exploration of Screen Layouts
10) Exploration of Generated Reports
11) Exploration of Testing Techniques and Test data

In their present work, they identified 103 software attributes from software project documentations.

Technology Inc. which has 45-60 developers whose main task is of writing code. The Technical Publications Department has three writers to keep up with them, plus two programmers who develop and maintain software tools that support the documentation. This team puts out paper and online documentation, on time, reasonably complete, for one major and several minor releases a year. It's the tools that make it possible. Magyar first describes the tools and processes that were in place, explain the issues that led us to change them, and discuss the changes that were put forward in place both for tools and process. Magyar also discusses some of the problems that were faced and how they dealt with them.
Bayer [6] speaks about documentation by stating that, documentation is an integral part of a software system. It contains the information that is necessary to effectively and successfully develop, use, and maintain a system. In practice, however, the creation of appropriate documentation is largely neglected. In their paper they investigated the reasons for this neglect, presents view-based software documentation; their approach was to improve the current situation, and reports on empirical evidence in support of the presented approach. Because the quality of documentation depends on its usage, view-based software documentation exploits existing software modeling techniques to provide all users of documentation with the documentation they require for performing their tasks. View-based software documentation has been empirically validated in a series of experiments and case studies that showed that the approach improves the completeness, correctness, and usefulness of produced and maintained documentation.

Liu et al [20] verbalize that; studying software development processes can help us to understand the software development models which in turn can help programmers to build high-quality software products. Software is not all homogeneous, and industrial software and software developed in academia seem to be different. In order to understand the characteristics of academic software development, they surveyed ten student programmers in five research fields and conducted content analysis. They found that although academic software is highly diverse, the development processes are fairly similar to some extent. They also found some common weaknesses, such as lacking of code management and documentation, and proposed some suggestions to improve the process.

Mitchell et al [35] conveyed an approach for designing multi-factor scoring systems for evaluating and selecting early stage innovation projects. A project is a piece of work of finite duration with finite resources, aimed at a defined outcome. Innovation projects have the extra complication that all of these aspects will be somewhat uncertain and knowledge of them is liable to change as the project proceeds. Clearly different assessment factors are required for different organizations, and for different types and stages of project. There is little guidance in the literature on how to choose the factors and how best to structure the scoring process. They presented approach in the form of managerial guidelines, targeted at those who have to implement innovation project selection systems. Design aspects are discussed, including structure of the tool, choosing the factors, scaling statements, weightings, risk, uncertainty and confidence. Management aspects are considered, including preparation, scoring, decisions and outputs. The method is positioned in terms of theory and practice, with reference to both literature and industrial case studies.

III. CONCLUSION

From the surveyed work premeditated by us on documentation of software project, we wrap up the highlights about considering documentation as the essence of software project.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr No.</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1][18]</td>
<td>Describes the issues concerning the changing needs of documentation. Also presents an alternate view concerning the role of documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[2][19]</td>
<td>The task of documentation in a software engineering milieu is to communicate information to its spectators and instils knowledge of the system it describes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[3]</td>
<td>Specify procedures for managing user documentation throughout the software life cycle. It applies to people or organizations producing suites of documentation, to those undertaking a single documentation project, and to documentation produced internally, as well as to documentation contracted to outside service organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[4]</td>
<td>They claim that better documentation can solve a big percentage of maintenance problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>Conducted a study to analyze the factors that cause errors and found that misunderstanding of a module’s specifications or requirements constituted the majority of detected errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[6]</td>
<td>Speaks about documentation by stating that, documentation is an integral part of a software system. It contains the information that is necessary to effectively and successfully develop, use, and maintain a system. In practice, however, the creation of appropriate documentation is largely neglected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>Estimated that documentation costs run about 10% of total Software Development costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Claims that in most cases maintainers discover that the available documentation is not current.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>Studied a production environment to evaluate the effectiveness of different technologies and their impact on productivity and reliability. They found that high-use of documentation improves productivity by 11% and reliability by 27% compared to low-use of it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>Maintenance programmers report that for most maintenance tasks the source code is the only available documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>In their work considered documentation of software projects prepared by students as a source for data collection. Specifically, documentations of large software projects of only final year students of Masters level course have been considered for the research purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>[13][14]</td>
<td>Documentations is the process of collecting, organizing, storing and maintaining historical record of programs and other documents used or prepared during the different phases of the life cycle of the software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>[15][16]</td>
<td>The effectiveness of documentation within a development process is determined by the way in which the intentions of the authors correspond to the expectations of the potential readers. In a typical software development process, many different kinds of documents are produced and consumed at various points in time. The contents of those documents necessarily exhibit a certain amount of overlap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Argues that source code presents the facts of a system and the supporting documents facilitate higher-level interpretation of those facts. A document that instils knowledge in its audience can then be deemed effective, somewhat regardless of its age and the extent to which it is up-to-date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>Verbalize that: studying software development processes can help us to understand the software development models which in turn can help programmers to build high-quality software products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>In their work they considered problems of elicitation, documentation and validation of user requirements, and implicates the need for method that enables the stakeholders to resolve problems of incomplete, incorrect and contradictory requirements in the earliest possible phase of project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>Claims that documentation quality inspections are as important as program inspections when the goal is to increase productivity and final software quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>Showed that when making a program modification 47% of a maintenance programmer’s time is spent studying the program source code and associated documentation. They also found that when correcting errors, the time increases to 62%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>Documentation impacts the analysis and development phases as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>[25][29]</td>
<td>States that documentation is probably most crucial to the maintenance phase, which accounts for 60%-75% of the total cost of the software.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>[26][27]</td>
<td>To ensure efficient communication, all communicating parties need to be able to identify various software documents, and, to ensure that the right information is found, all communicating parties should be able to anticipate what information is in each document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>[28][41]</td>
<td>Documentation is the basis for communication in software development organizations as well as between development organizations and the interest groups of the system to be developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>Documentation is requisite in software development. Even though every software development project is exclusive and produces diverse categories of documents, different amount of documentation, and may employ different documentation methods and notations, we need to be able to control the documentation produced in software development projects in a uniform manner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>Articulate that, in a small software organization, a close and intense relationship with its customers is often a substitute for documentation along the software processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>States that, the software documentation is unpopular among many developers at present while the documents are important for the staffs who work for secondary development and software maintenance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>Found that documentation quality ranked 3rd in the list of 26 maintenance problem items. They identify documentation quality and adequacy of design specs as accounting for 70% of product quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>This team puts out paper and online documentation, on time, reasonably complete, for one major and several minor releases a year. It's the tools that make it possible. Magyar first describes the tools and processes that were in place, explain the issues that led us to change them, and discuss the changes that were put forward in place both for tools and process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>Conveyed an approach for designing multi-factor scoring systems for evaluating and selecting early stage innovation projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>A well planned and documented systems development project is more likely to result in a system that meets the expectations of both the intended users and the software engineers. In their work they takes another look at conventional SDLC methodology by focusing on an aspect that is often overlooked in systems development practice, namely the significance of good documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Reports that documentation accounts for more than 60% of maintenance costs, and is involved in about one-third of the maintenance tasks. A quick understanding of the existing software is a key activity of the maintenance process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Asserts that flawed or outdated documentation is more costly than no documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>A general guideline for clear, well-organized documentation, however, the ANSI/ANS 10.3-1995 standard can serve as a place for developers to begin a documentation methodology. The standard is fairly comprehensive &amp; it allows for individual developer differences &amp; unique software documentation problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>Found that 85% of all software development errors are introduced during requirements, analysis and design.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 36 | [42] | Raises several fundamental questions in their discussion about software documentation. These questions including matters regarding types of documentations, contents, maintenance and so on.
37. [43] Illustrates that most undergraduate information Systems courses use some sort of Computer-Aided Systems and Software Engineering (CASE) tool to help the System Designers (cadets) graphically depict the proposed System under construction. Currently, at the United States Military Academy, they were in the process of identifying, evaluating and selecting an appropriate CASE tool for use by their Computer Science Engineering Sequence cadets.
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